

EBH Environmental Services
13 Craftsman Avenue
Berkeley Vale NSW 2261

Project 82880.00
3 December 2021
R.033.Rev0
MJH:bjk

Attention: David Burgun

Email: david@ebhenvironmentalservices.com.au

Dear Sir,

Routine Water Monitoring - September 2021
EBH Waste Processing Facility
60 Donaldson Street, Wyong

1. Introduction

This letter report presents the results of routine water monitoring undertaken by Douglas Partners Pty Ltd at the EBH Environmental Services Pty Ltd (EBH) facility, located at 60 Donaldson Street, Wyong. The monitoring was undertaken with reference to DP's proposal 205367 dated 23 June 2021, and the EBH purchase order NW-1644 dated 30 June 2021.

It is understood that the on-site sedimentation pond collects stormwater and general site run-off which discharges toward the western boundary of the site (refer to Figure 1). Monitoring was undertaken to assess the water quality of the sedimentation pond and at the point of discharge. It should be noted that the water was not discharging from the pond at the time of monitoring (21 September 2021).

The approximate monitoring locations are identified in Figures 1 and 2.

2. Scope of Works

The monitoring event comprised:

- Visual inspection of the sampling locations to identify signs of potential contamination/pollution.
- Recording general water quality parameters using a calibrated water quality meter (TPS90FLMV model). The parameters recorded comprised:
 - o pH;
 - o electrical conductivity (EC);
 - o dissolved Oxygen (DO);
 - o turbidity;
 - o redox potential; and
 - o temperature

- Collecting water grab samples at each location directly into laboratory-prepared bottles, capping immediately, then storage and transport to Envirolab Services Pty Ltd (Envirolab) for testing;
- Testing of the water samples for the following suite of analytes;
 - o heavy metals (As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, Pb, Ni & Zn);
 - o total recoverable hydrocarbons (TRH);
 - o benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene and xylene (BTEX);
 - o polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH); and
 - o total suspended solids (TSS).
- No sample was collected at the discharge location due to insufficient flow.
- Preparation of this summary report.



Figure 1: Site Locality

Images sourced from Metro Maps Photomaps, dated 17 December 2019



Figure 2: Monitoring locations

3. Monitoring and Laboratory Testing

The field work was undertaken on 21 September 2021 by Mr Brent Kerry (Douglas Partners). Visual inspection did not identify any obvious signs of potential contamination on the water surface. No water was observed to be discharging from the sediment pond through a rubble filled trench at the time of the sampling.

For the monitoring event, the prevailing weather conditions were overcast. Reference to the Bureau of Meteorology rainfall data for Norah Head, located approximately 12 km east of the site, reported approximately 43.4 mm of rainfall in the week prior to commencement of monitoring activities.

Envirolab Services Pty Ltd, a laboratory certified by the National Association of Testing Authorities (NATA), was the primary laboratory employed to conduct the sample analysis. The laboratory is required to carry out routine in-house QC procedures to ensure accuracy, precision and repeatability of analyses.

The water monitoring and laboratory test results are summarised below in Table 1. Analytical methods used are shown on the attached laboratory certificate.

Table 1: Results of Surface Water Analysis (All results in µg/L unless otherwise stated) (September 2021)

| Sample Identification | Sed Pond | Discharge | Laboratory PQL | ANZG 2018 | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------------|--|
| | | | | Marine Water | Fresh Water | |
| Field Parameters | | | | | | |
| Dissolved Oxygen (ppm) | 8.61 | Not Tested - No Discharge | NA | NC | NC | |
| Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm) | 613 | | NA | NC | NC | |
| pH ^{(1) (2)} | 8.4 | | NA | 7.0-8.5 ⁽¹⁾ | 6.5-8.0 ⁽²⁾ | |
| Redox Potential (mV) | 148 | | NA | NC | NC | |
| Turbidity (NTU) | 63 | | NA | NC | NC | |
| Total Suspended Solids (mg/L) | 22 | | 10 | NC | NC | |
| TRH | | | | | | |
| F1 (TRH C ₆ -C ₁₀ less BTEX) | <PQL | | 10 | NC | NC | |
| F2 (TRH C ₁₀ -C ₁₆ less naphthalene) | <PQL | | 50 | NC | NC | |
| F3 (TRH C ₁₆ -C ₃₄) | <PQL | | 100 | NC | NC | |
| F4 (TRH C ₃₄ -C ₄₀) | <PQL | 100 | NC | NC | | |
| BTEX | | | | | | |
| Benzene | <PQL | 1 | 500 | 950 | | |
| Toluene | <PQL | 1 | 180 | 180 | | |
| Ethyl Benzene | <PQL | 1 | 80 | 80 | | |
| Xylene ⁽³⁾ | <PQL | 3 | 75 | 75 | | |
| Naphthalene | <PQL | 1 | 50 | 16 | | |
| PAHs | | | | | | |
| Total PAHs | <PQL | 0.1 | NC | NC | | |
| Naphthalene | <PQL | 0.2 | 50 | 16 | | |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | <PQL | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | | |
| Metals | | | | | | |
| Arsenic | 3 | 1 | NC | 24 | | |
| Cadmium | <PQL | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.2 | | |
| Chromium (III) | 5 | 1 | 27 | 3 | | |
| Copper | 3 | 1 | 1.3 | 1.4 | | |
| Lead | 3 | 1 | 4.4 | 3.4 | | |
| Mercury | <PQL | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.06 | | |
| Nickel | 2 | 1 | 7 | 11 | | |
| Zinc | 14 | 1 | 15 | 8 | | |

Notes:

(1) - Trigger Values for physical and chemical stressors for south-east Australia for Slightly Disturbed Ecosystems (Table 3.3.2)-Estuaries

(2) - Trigger Values for physical and chemical stressors for south-east Australia for Slightly Disturbed Ecosystems (Table 3.3.2)-Lowland Rivers

(3) - m-xylene (conservative)

PQL - Practical Quantitation Limits

NC - No Criteria

NL - Not Limiting

[NA] - Test not required

Exceeds Marine Water GIL

Exceeds Fresh Water GIL

4. Discussion of Results

The monitoring locations generally reported water quality results within the primary guidelines values (i.e. those for freshwater – ANZG, 2018), except for chromium, copper and zinc concentrations. These results may be associated with the turbidity/suspended solids observed/detected at this location (i.e. metals sorbed to suspended soil particles).

The marginally elevated pH results are also likely to be related to the processing activities completed at the site (i.e. leaching of alkalinity from the processed concrete and brick materials).

5. References

ANZG. (2018). *Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality*. Canberra, ACT: Australian and New Zealand Governments and Australian state and territory governments.

6. Limitations

Douglas Partners Pty Ltd (DP) has prepared this report for this project at 60 Donaldson Street, Wyong in accordance with DP's proposal dated 24 June 2021 and acceptance received from EBH dated 30 June 2021. The work was carried out under DP's Conditions of Engagement. This report is provided for the exclusive use of EBH Environmental Services Pty Ltd for this project only and for the purposes as described in the report. It should not be used by or relied upon for other projects or purposes on the same or other site or by a third party. Any party so relying upon this report beyond its exclusive use and purpose as stated above, and without the express written consent of DP, does so entirely at its own risk and without recourse to DP for any loss or damage. In preparing this report DP has necessarily relied upon information provided by the client and/or their agents.

The results provided in the report are indicative of the water conditions on the site only at the specific sampling and/or testing locations, and then only at the time the work was carried out. Water conditions can change abruptly due to variable geological processes and also as a result of human influences. Such changes may occur after DP's field testing has been completed.

DP's advice is based upon the conditions encountered during this investigation. The accuracy of the advice provided by DP in this report may be affected by undetected variations in water conditions across the site between and beyond the sampling and/or testing locations. The advice may also be limited by budget constraints imposed by others or by site accessibility.

The assessment of atypical safety hazards arising from this advice is restricted to the environmental components set out in this report and based on known project conditions and stated design advice and assumptions. While some recommendations for safe controls may be provided, detailed 'safety in design' assessment is outside the current scope of this report and requires additional project data and assessment.

This report must be read in conjunction with all of the attached and should be kept in its entirety without separation of individual pages or sections. DP cannot be held responsible for interpretations or conclusions made by others unless they are supported by an expressed statement, interpretation, outcome or conclusion stated in this report.

This report, or sections from this report, should not be used as part of a specification for a project, without review and agreement by DP. This is because this report has been written as advice and opinion rather than instructions for construction.

Please contact the undersigned if you have any questions on this matter.

Yours faithfully
Douglas Partners Pty Ltd



Matthew Harrison
Engineering Geologist

Reviewed by



Brent Kerry
Environmental Engineer / Senior Associate

Attachments:

Notes About This Report
Laboratory Certificates of Analysis & Chain of Custody Sheets

About this Report

Douglas Partners



Introduction

These notes have been provided to amplify DP's report in regard to classification methods, field procedures and the comments section. Not all are necessarily relevant to all reports.

DP's reports are based on information gained from limited subsurface excavations and sampling, supplemented by knowledge of local geology and experience. For this reason, they must be regarded as interpretive rather than factual documents, limited to some extent by the scope of information on which they rely.

Copyright

This report is the property of Douglas Partners Pty Ltd. The report may only be used for the purpose for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the Conditions of Engagement for the commission supplied at the time of proposal. Unauthorised use of this report in any form whatsoever is prohibited.

Borehole and Test Pit Logs

The borehole and test pit logs presented in this report are an engineering and/or geological interpretation of the subsurface conditions, and their reliability will depend to some extent on frequency of sampling and the method of drilling or excavation. Ideally, continuous undisturbed sampling or core drilling will provide the most reliable assessment, but this is not always practicable or possible to justify on economic grounds. In any case the boreholes and test pits represent only a very small sample of the total subsurface profile.

Interpretation of the information and its application to design and construction should therefore take into account the spacing of boreholes or pits, the frequency of sampling, and the possibility of other than 'straight line' variations between the test locations.

Groundwater

Where groundwater levels are measured in boreholes there are several potential problems, namely:

- In low permeability soils groundwater may enter the hole very slowly or perhaps not at all during the time the hole is left open;

- A localised, perched water table may lead to an erroneous indication of the true water table;
- Water table levels will vary from time to time with seasons or recent weather changes. They may not be the same at the time of construction as are indicated in the report; and
- The use of water or mud as a drilling fluid will mask any groundwater inflow. Water has to be blown out of the hole and drilling mud must first be washed out of the hole if water measurements are to be made.

More reliable measurements can be made by installing standpipes which are read at intervals over several days, or perhaps weeks for low permeability soils. Piezometers, sealed in a particular stratum, may be advisable in low permeability soils or where there may be interference from a perched water table.

Reports

The report has been prepared by qualified personnel, is based on the information obtained from field and laboratory testing, and has been undertaken to current engineering standards of interpretation and analysis. Where the report has been prepared for a specific design proposal, the information and interpretation may not be relevant if the design proposal is changed. If this happens, DP will be pleased to review the report and the sufficiency of the investigation work.

Every care is taken with the report as it relates to interpretation of subsurface conditions, discussion of geotechnical and environmental aspects, and recommendations or suggestions for design and construction. However, DP cannot always anticipate or assume responsibility for:

- Unexpected variations in ground conditions. The potential for this will depend partly on borehole or pit spacing and sampling frequency;
- Changes in policy or interpretations of policy by statutory authorities; or
- The actions of contractors responding to commercial pressures.

If these occur, DP will be pleased to assist with investigations or advice to resolve the matter.

About this Report

Site Anomalies

In the event that conditions encountered on site during construction appear to vary from those which were expected from the information contained in the report, DP requests that it be immediately notified. Most problems are much more readily resolved when conditions are exposed rather than at some later stage, well after the event.

Information for Contractual Purposes

Where information obtained from this report is provided for tendering purposes, it is recommended that all information, including the written report and discussion, be made available. In circumstances where the discussion or comments section is not relevant to the contractual situation, it may be appropriate to prepare a specially edited document. DP would be pleased to assist in this regard and/or to make additional report copies available for contract purposes at a nominal charge.

Site Inspection

The company will always be pleased to provide engineering inspection services for geotechnical and environmental aspects of work to which this report is related. This could range from a site visit to confirm that conditions exposed are as expected, to full time engineering presence on site.



Envirolab Services Pty Ltd

ABN 37 112 535 645

12 Ashley St Chatswood NSW 2067

ph 02 9910 6200 fax 02 9910 6201

customerservice@envirolab.com.au

www.envirolab.com.au

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS 278692

Client Details

| | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Client | Douglas Partners Tuggerah |
| Attention | Brent Kerry |
| Address | Unit 5, 3 Teamster Close, Tuggerah, NSW, 2259 |

Sample Details

| | |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Your Reference | 82880.00, EBH Wyong |
| Number of Samples | 1 water |
| Date samples received | 22/09/2021 |
| Date completed instructions received | 22/09/2021 |

Analysis Details

Please refer to the following pages for results, methodology summary and quality control data.

Samples were analysed as received from the client. Results relate specifically to the samples as received.

Results are reported on a dry weight basis for solids and on an as received basis for other matrices.

Please refer to the last page of this report for any comments relating to the results.

Report Details

Date results requested by 29/09/2021

Date of Issue 28/09/2021

NATA Accreditation Number 2901. This document shall not be reproduced except in full.

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing. **Tests not covered by NATA are denoted with ***

Results Approved By

Dragana Tomas, Senior Chemist

Hannah Nguyen, Metals Supervisor

Jenny He, Chemist

Authorised By

Nancy Zhang, Laboratory Manager

| vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Water | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-------|------------|
| Our Reference | | 278692-1 |
| Your Reference | UNITS | Sed Pond |
| Date Sampled | | 21/09/2021 |
| Type of sample | | water |
| Date extracted | - | 24/09/2021 |
| Date analysed | - | 24/09/2021 |
| TRH C ₆ - C ₉ | µg/L | <10 |
| TRH C ₆ - C ₁₀ | µg/L | <10 |
| TRH C ₆ - C ₁₀ less BTEX (F1) | µg/L | <10 |
| Benzene | µg/L | <1 |
| Toluene | µg/L | <1 |
| Ethylbenzene | µg/L | <1 |
| m+p-xylene | µg/L | <2 |
| o-xylene | µg/L | <1 |
| Naphthalene | µg/L | <1 |
| Surrogate Dibromofluoromethane | % | 97 |
| Surrogate toluene-d8 | % | 98 |
| Surrogate 4-BFB | % | 99 |

| svTRH (C10-C40) in Water | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------------|
| Our Reference | | 278692-1 |
| Your Reference | UNITS | Sed Pond |
| Date Sampled | | 21/09/2021 |
| Type of sample | | water |
| Date extracted | - | 23/09/2021 |
| Date analysed | - | 24/09/2021 |
| TRH C ₁₀ - C ₁₄ | µg/L | <50 |
| TRH C ₁₅ - C ₂₈ | µg/L | <100 |
| TRH C ₂₉ - C ₃₆ | µg/L | <100 |
| Total +ve TRH (C10-C36) | µg/L | <50 |
| TRH >C ₁₀ - C ₁₆ | µg/L | <50 |
| TRH >C ₁₀ - C ₁₆ less Naphthalene (F2) | µg/L | <50 |
| TRH >C ₁₆ - C ₃₄ | µg/L | <100 |
| TRH >C ₃₄ - C ₄₀ | µg/L | <100 |
| Total +ve TRH (>C10-C40) | µg/L | <50 |
| Surrogate o-Terphenyl | % | 88 |

| PAHs in Water - Low Level | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|------------|
| Our Reference | | 278692-1 |
| Your Reference | UNITS | Sed Pond |
| Date Sampled | | 21/09/2021 |
| Type of sample | | water |
| Date extracted | - | 23/09/2021 |
| Date analysed | - | 23/09/2021 |
| Naphthalene | µg/L | <0.2 |
| Acenaphthylene | µg/L | <0.1 |
| Acenaphthene | µg/L | <0.1 |
| Fluorene | µg/L | <0.1 |
| Phenanthrene | µg/L | <0.1 |
| Anthracene | µg/L | <0.1 |
| Fluoranthene | µg/L | <0.1 |
| Pyrene | µg/L | <0.1 |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | µg/L | <0.1 |
| Chrysene | µg/L | <0.1 |
| Benzo(b,j+k)fluoranthene | µg/L | <0.2 |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | µg/L | <0.1 |
| Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene | µg/L | <0.1 |
| Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene | µg/L | <0.1 |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | µg/L | <0.1 |
| Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ | µg/L | <0.5 |
| Total +ve PAH's | µg/L | <0.1 |
| Surrogate <i>p</i> -Terphenyl-d14 | % | 134 |

| HM in water - dissolved | | |
|-------------------------|-------|------------|
| Our Reference | | 278692-1 |
| Your Reference | UNITS | Sed Pond |
| Date Sampled | | 21/09/2021 |
| Type of sample | | water |
| Date prepared | - | 23/09/2021 |
| Date analysed | - | 23/09/2021 |
| Arsenic-Dissolved | µg/L | 3 |
| Cadmium-Dissolved | µg/L | <0.1 |
| Chromium-Dissolved | µg/L | 5 |
| Copper-Dissolved | µg/L | 3 |
| Lead-Dissolved | µg/L | 3 |
| Mercury-Dissolved | µg/L | <0.05 |
| Nickel-Dissolved | µg/L | 2 |
| Zinc-Dissolved | µg/L | 14 |

| Miscellaneous Inorganics | | |
|--------------------------|-------|------------|
| Our Reference | | 278692-1 |
| Your Reference | UNITS | Sed Pond |
| Date Sampled | | 21/09/2021 |
| Type of sample | | water |
| Date prepared | - | 27/09/2021 |
| Date analysed | - | 27/09/2021 |
| Total Suspended Solids | mg/L | 22 |

| Method ID | Methodology Summary |
|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Inorg-019 | Suspended Solids - determined gravimetrically by filtration of the sample. The samples are dried at 104+/-5°C. |
| Metals-021 | Determination of Mercury by Cold Vapour AAS. |
| Metals-022 | Determination of various metals by ICP-MS. |
| Org-020 | Soil samples are extracted with Dichloromethane/Acetone and waters with Dichloromethane and analysed by GC-FID. F2 = (>C10-C16)-Naphthalene as per NEPM B1 Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater (HSLs Tables 1A (3, 4)). Note Naphthalene is determined from the VOC analysis. |
| Org-022/025 | Soil samples are extracted with Dichloromethane/Acetone and waters with Dichloromethane and analysed by GC-MS/GC-MSMS. Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ as per NEPM B1 Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater - 2013. |
| Org-023 | Water samples are analysed directly by purge and trap GC-MS. |
| Org-023 | Soil samples are extracted with methanol and spiked into water prior to analysing by purge and trap GC-MS. Water samples are analysed directly by purge and trap GC-MS. F1 = (C6-C10)-BTEX as per NEPM B1 Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater. |

Client Reference: 82880.00, EBH Wyong

| QUALITY CONTROL: vTRH(C6-C10)/BTEXN in Water | | | | | Duplicate | | | Spike Recovery % | | |
|----------------------------------------------|-------|-----|---------|------------|-----------|------|------|------------------|------------|------|
| Test Description | Units | PQL | Method | Blank | # | Base | Dup. | RPD | LCS-W5 | [NT] |
| Date extracted | - | | | 24/09/2021 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 24/09/2021 | [NT] |
| Date analysed | - | | | 24/09/2021 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 24/09/2021 | [NT] |
| TRH C ₆ - C ₉ | µg/L | 10 | Org-023 | <10 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 95 | [NT] |
| TRH C ₆ - C ₁₀ | µg/L | 10 | Org-023 | <10 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 95 | [NT] |
| Benzene | µg/L | 1 | Org-023 | <1 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 86 | [NT] |
| Toluene | µg/L | 1 | Org-023 | <1 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 92 | [NT] |
| Ethylbenzene | µg/L | 1 | Org-023 | <1 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 97 | [NT] |
| m+p-xylene | µg/L | 2 | Org-023 | <2 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 99 | [NT] |
| o-xylene | µg/L | 1 | Org-023 | <1 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 96 | [NT] |
| Naphthalene | µg/L | 1 | Org-023 | <1 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] |
| Surrogate Dibromofluoromethane | % | | Org-023 | 97 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 102 | [NT] |
| Surrogate toluene-d8 | % | | Org-023 | 99 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 101 | [NT] |
| Surrogate 4-BFB | % | | Org-023 | 99 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 96 | [NT] |

Client Reference: 82880.00, EBH Wyong

| QUALITY CONTROL: svTRH (C10-C40) in Water | | | | | Duplicate | | | Spike Recovery % | | |
|-------------------------------------------|-------|-----|---------|------------|-----------|------|------|------------------|------------|------|
| Test Description | Units | PQL | Method | Blank | # | Base | Dup. | RPD | LCS-W3 | [NT] |
| Date extracted | - | | | 23/09/2021 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 23/09/2021 | [NT] |
| Date analysed | - | | | 24/09/2021 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 24/09/2021 | [NT] |
| TRH C ₁₀ - C ₁₄ | µg/L | 50 | Org-020 | <50 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 84 | [NT] |
| TRH C ₁₅ - C ₂₈ | µg/L | 100 | Org-020 | <100 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 105 | [NT] |
| TRH C ₂₉ - C ₃₆ | µg/L | 100 | Org-020 | <100 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 113 | [NT] |
| TRH >C ₁₀ - C ₁₆ | µg/L | 50 | Org-020 | <50 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 84 | [NT] |
| TRH >C ₁₆ - C ₃₄ | µg/L | 100 | Org-020 | <100 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 105 | [NT] |
| TRH >C ₃₄ - C ₄₀ | µg/L | 100 | Org-020 | <100 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 113 | [NT] |
| Surrogate o-Terphenyl | % | | Org-020 | 97 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 90 | [NT] |

Client Reference: 82880.00, EBH Wyong

| QUALITY CONTROL: PAHs in Water - Low Level | | | | Duplicate | | | | Spike Recovery % | | |
|--------------------------------------------|-------|-----|-------------|------------|------|------|------|------------------|------------|------|
| Test Description | Units | PQL | Method | Blank | # | Base | Dup. | RPD | LCS-W3 | [NT] |
| Date extracted | - | | | 23/09/2021 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 23/09/2021 | [NT] |
| Date analysed | - | | | 23/09/2021 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 23/09/2021 | [NT] |
| Naphthalene | µg/L | 0.2 | Org-022/025 | <0.2 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 89 | [NT] |
| Acenaphthylene | µg/L | 0.1 | Org-022/025 | <0.1 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] |
| Acenaphthene | µg/L | 0.1 | Org-022/025 | <0.1 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 75 | [NT] |
| Fluorene | µg/L | 0.1 | Org-022/025 | <0.1 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 95 | [NT] |
| Phenanthrene | µg/L | 0.1 | Org-022/025 | <0.1 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 102 | [NT] |
| Anthracene | µg/L | 0.1 | Org-022/025 | <0.1 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] |
| Fluoranthene | µg/L | 0.1 | Org-022/025 | <0.1 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 69 | [NT] |
| Pyrene | µg/L | 0.1 | Org-022/025 | <0.1 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 71 | [NT] |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | µg/L | 0.1 | Org-022/025 | <0.1 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] |
| Chrysene | µg/L | 0.1 | Org-022/025 | <0.1 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 80 | [NT] |
| Benzo(b,j+k)fluoranthene | µg/L | 0.2 | Org-022/025 | <0.2 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | µg/L | 0.1 | Org-022/025 | <0.1 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 76 | [NT] |
| Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene | µg/L | 0.1 | Org-022/025 | <0.1 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] |
| Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene | µg/L | 0.1 | Org-022/025 | <0.1 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | µg/L | 0.1 | Org-022/025 | <0.1 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] |
| Surrogate p-Terphenyl-d14 | % | | Org-022/025 | 103 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 109 | [NT] |

Client Reference: 82880.00, EBH Wyong

| QUALITY CONTROL: HM in water - dissolved | | | | Duplicate | | | | Spike Recovery % | | |
|------------------------------------------|-------|------|------------|------------|------|------|------|------------------|------------|------|
| Test Description | Units | PQL | Method | Blank | # | Base | Dup. | RPD | LCS-W1 | [NT] |
| Date prepared | - | | | 23/09/2021 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 23/09/2021 | [NT] |
| Date analysed | - | | | 23/09/2021 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 23/09/2021 | [NT] |
| Arsenic-Dissolved | µg/L | 1 | Metals-022 | <1 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 100 | [NT] |
| Cadmium-Dissolved | µg/L | 0.1 | Metals-022 | <0.1 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 99 | [NT] |
| Chromium-Dissolved | µg/L | 1 | Metals-022 | <1 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 100 | [NT] |
| Copper-Dissolved | µg/L | 1 | Metals-022 | <1 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 96 | [NT] |
| Lead-Dissolved | µg/L | 1 | Metals-022 | <1 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 99 | [NT] |
| Mercury-Dissolved | µg/L | 0.05 | Metals-021 | <0.05 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 99 | [NT] |
| Nickel-Dissolved | µg/L | 1 | Metals-022 | <1 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 101 | [NT] |
| Zinc-Dissolved | µg/L | 1 | Metals-022 | <1 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 102 | [NT] |

Client Reference: 82880.00, EBH Wyong

| QUALITY CONTROL: Miscellaneous Inorganics | | | | Duplicate | | | | Spike Recovery % | | |
|-------------------------------------------|-------|-----|-----------|------------|------|------|------|------------------|------------|------|
| Test Description | Units | PQL | Method | Blank | # | Base | Dup. | RPD | LCS-W3 | [NT] |
| Date prepared | - | | | 27/09/2021 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 27/09/2021 | [NT] |
| Date analysed | - | | | 27/09/2021 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 27/09/2021 | [NT] |
| Total Suspended Solids | mg/L | 5 | Inorg-019 | <5 | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | [NT] | 101 | [NT] |

Result Definitions

| | |
|-------------|-------------------------------------------|
| NT | Not tested |
| NA | Test not required |
| INS | Insufficient sample for this test |
| PQL | Practical Quantitation Limit |
| < | Less than |
| > | Greater than |
| RPD | Relative Percent Difference |
| LCS | Laboratory Control Sample |
| NS | Not specified |
| NEPM | National Environmental Protection Measure |
| NR | Not Reported |

Quality Control Definitions

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Blank | This is the component of the analytical signal which is not derived from the sample but from reagents, glassware etc, can be determined by processing solvents and reagents in exactly the same manner as for samples. |
| Duplicate | This is the complete duplicate analysis of a sample from the process batch. If possible, the sample selected should be one where the analyte concentration is easily measurable. |
| Matrix Spike | A portion of the sample is spiked with a known concentration of target analyte. The purpose of the matrix spike is to monitor the performance of the analytical method used and to determine whether matrix interferences exist. |
| LCS (Laboratory Control Sample) | This comprises either a standard reference material or a control matrix (such as a blank sand or water) fortified with analytes representative of the analyte class. It is simply a check sample. |
| Surrogate Spike | Surrogates are known additions to each sample, blank, matrix spike and LCS in a batch, of compounds which are similar to the analyte of interest, however are not expected to be found in real samples. |
| Australian Drinking Water Guidelines recommend that Thermotolerant Coliform, Faecal Enterococci, & E.Coli levels are less than 1cfu/100mL. The recommended maximums are taken from "Australian Drinking Water Guidelines", published by NHMRC & ARMC 2011. | |
| The recommended maximums for analytes in urine are taken from "2018 TLVs and BEIs", as published by ACGIH (where available). Limit provided for Nickel is a precautionary guideline as per Position Paper prepared by AIOH Exposure Standards Committee, 2016. | |
| Guideline limits for Rinse Water Quality reported as per analytical requirements and specifications of AS 4187, Amdt 2 2019, Table 7.2 | |

Laboratory Acceptance Criteria

Duplicate sample and matrix spike recoveries may not be reported on smaller jobs, however, were analysed at a frequency to meet or exceed NEPM requirements. All samples are tested in batches of 20. The duplicate sample RPD and matrix spike recoveries for the batch were within the laboratory acceptance criteria.

Filters, swabs, wipes, tubes and badges will not have duplicate data as the whole sample is generally extracted during sample extraction.

Spikes for Physical and Aggregate Tests are not applicable.

For VOCs in water samples, three vials are required for duplicate or spike analysis.

Duplicates: >10xPQL - RPD acceptance criteria will vary depending on the analytes and the analytical techniques but is typically in the range 20%-50% – see ELN-P05 QA/QC tables for details; <10xPQL - RPD are higher as the results approach PQL and the estimated measurement uncertainty will statistically increase.

Matrix Spikes, LCS and Surrogate recoveries: Generally 70-130% for inorganics/metals (not SPOCAS); 60-140% for organics/SPOCAS (+/-50% surrogates) and 10-140% for labile SVOCs (including labile surrogates), ultra trace organics and speciated phenols is acceptable.

In circumstances where no duplicate and/or sample spike has been reported at 1 in 10 and/or 1 in 20 samples respectively, the sample volume submitted was insufficient in order to satisfy laboratory QA/QC protocols.

When samples are received where certain analytes are outside of recommended technical holding times (THTs), the analysis has proceeded. Where analytes are on the verge of breaching THTs, every effort will be made to analyse within the THT or as soon as practicable.

Where sampling dates are not provided, Envirolab are not in a position to comment on the validity of the analysis where recommended technical holding times may have been breached.

Measurement Uncertainty estimates are available for most tests upon request.

Analysis of aqueous samples typically involves the extraction/digestion and/or analysis of the liquid phase only (i.e. NOT any settled sediment phase but inclusive of suspended particles if present), unless stipulated on the Envirolab COC and/or by correspondence. Notable exceptions include certain Physical Tests (pH/EC/BOD/COD/Apparent Colour etc.), Solids testing, total recoverable metals and PFAS where solids are included by default.

Samples for Microbiological analysis (not Amoeba forms) received outside of the 2-8°C temperature range do not meet the ideal cooling conditions as stated in AS2031-2012.

Report Comments

Dissolved Metals: no filtered, preserved sample was received, therefore the unpreserved sample was filtered through 0.45µm filter at the lab.


Note: there is a possibility some elements may be underestimated.

CHAIN OF CUSTODY



| | | |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Client: Douglas Partners | Project Number: 82880.00 | To: Envirolab Services |
| Contact Person: Brent Kerry | Project Name: EBH Wyong | Contact Person: Aileen Hie |
| Project Mgr: Brent Kerry | PO No.: | Address: 12 Ashley Street |
| Address: 5/3 Teanster Cl Tuggerah NSW 2259 | lab Quote No.: | Chatswood NSW 2068 |
| | Date results required: Standard TAT | Phone: 02 9910 6200 |
| | <i>Note: Inform lab in advance if urgent turnaround is required - surcharges apply</i> | Fax: 02 9910 6201 |
| Phone: 4351 1422 Mob: | Report format: Esdat/PDF / Excel | Email: ahie@envirolab.com.au |
| Email: brent.kerry@douglaspartners.com.au | Comments: | Laboratory Report No: |
| | | Lab Comments: |

| Sample information | | | | | | Tests Required | | | | | | | | | | | Comments | |
|--------------------|-----------------|---------|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----|----|-----|----------|-----|----|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Lab Sample ID | Field Sample ID | Depth | Date sampled | Container Type | Type of sample | Combo 3L | TSS | HM | OCP | TRH/BTEX | CEC | pH | Scr Suite | COMBO #7A | COMBO #7 | Asbestos ID | Provide as much information about the sample as you can | |
| ① | Sed Pond | surface | 21/09/2021 | Bottles | Water | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |


Envirolab Services
 12 Ashley St
 Chatswood NSW 2067
 Ph: (02) 9910 6200

Job No:
 Date Received:
 Time Received:
 Received By: *UM*
 Temp: Cool/Ambient
 Cooling: Ice/icepack
 Security: Intact/Broken/None

278692

22/9/21

870

| | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| Relinquished by: Douglas Partners | Sample Receipt | Lab use only: |
| Courier (by whom) TNT | Received by (Company): <i>EBH</i> | Samples Received: Cool/Ambient (circle one) |
| Condition of Sample at dispatch Cool or Ambient (circle) Cool | Print Name: <i>Aileen Hie</i> | Temperature Received at: <i>14.5</i> (if applicable) |
| Temperature (if Applicable): | Date & Time: <i>21/9/21</i> | Transported by: Hand delivered / courier |
| Print Name: Brent Kerry | Signature: <i>UM</i> | |
| Date & Time: <i>21/9/21</i> | | |
| Signature: <i>BKerry</i> | | |